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## 0. Team \& Group Name Identification

Team Name: Simulation Rockers.
Team Member: Kishan Patel, Sianath Baragada, Abdulwahab O. Abulgasem

## 1. Executive Summary

Burger King is one of the fastest growing fast food chain in the USA. Burger King is an American global chain of hamburger fast food restaurants. Headquartered in the unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County, Florida, the company was founded in 1953 as InstaBurger King, a Jacksonville, Florida-based restaurant chain. The growth then become exponential in coming years. With growth comes are much difficult responsibilities of customer satisfaction. There starts coming of the complains regarding the servicing times and waiting time.

In the project, we tried to understand these problems at a local Burger King. With the help of Arena Simulation software, we tried to analyze and study the system for reducing the wait time and servicing time. With the help of ARENA, we simulated the actual working of system at burger king, then for validation With the help of PAN, we come up with different scenarios. That helped to come up with solution at the end.

## 2. Introduction of Project focus area

During first team meeting, with the help of brainstorming and initial discussion all agreed to do system simulation project in the field of service industry. So, we tried to search around for the location of business of such kind.

## Project focus area: Fast Food restaurant- Burger King

Location: 644 Campbell Avenue, West Haven CT- 06516
Type of system: Service based system. The location seems to be busy so, we thought of using system simulation methods to analyses the working and running of the system and give recommendations.

## 3. Problem statement

The first problem what we have seen there is long queue and more waiting time. The restaurant seems to be busy most of the time. The downtown street of West Haven and crossing with many residency around it makes it busier.

During our research over there we noticed that there are less number of employee working during the busy time of the day-Lunch Time. Due to these is usually a queue of 2-3 customer. There is two cashier machine but only one was used always when we were taking the data, making long wait time during lunch time.

The motivation came for choosing this topic for project is our own experience during the visits over there. The restaurant seems to be busy during day time and at night on weekends. Usually during our visits, we all have seen that there is always waiting time in queue. So, it gave us thought to check and make a real-time simulation model for the store and give recommendations.

We are using Arena 15.0 simulation software for our project.

## 4. System Analysis

### 4.1 Graphical Representation of the system

There is fixed layout of the store. The store has two entrance and there is sitting of almost 70 customers. The store also has Drive-Thru. The store has shift based working system of the employee. The drive-thru employee are just focused on giving service to the drive-thru and some employee gives service to instore. There is just one cashier for instore activities.

The store has accessibility for disabled also.
The following simple layout of the store shows basic parts and system of it.


Figure 1: Graphical layout of system

### 4.2 Objective of the system

The main objective of the system is proper utilization of resource which we think is not properly used. The objective is based on our observation that only one cashier is used when the store is on the pick time of business. The resource such are wasted and same time making conjections in the system. So, we got the objective that we must give the result regarding proper utilization of resource and making waiting time less. There were only six Employee working with one cashier. The store was designed such that only some Employees is allocated the drive-thru and some are given the in-store order.

### 4.3 Elements of the system

The elements of the system are; customers, cashier, employees, grill machine, fryer, coke machine, tables, frozen freezer, raw materials required for making burger, and others. The elements are mostly obtained locally. Like the main customers are the local people from West Haven, any very little from the from interstate. The use of grill machine is used to make sandwich for them and frozen is used to store the frozen products. Fryer are used to make fries and all.

Simply it could include:

- Employees
- Customers
- Machines
- Raw materials such as; Bun, Frozen products, vegetables etc.


### 4.4 Type of system

The type of system that could best describe the system is Dynamic/Discrete/Stochastic/Open system
Following are the reasons for these type of system

- Dynamic: It is because the system is affected by change in time. Time is the factor that is analyzed.
- Discrete: Because the arrival of customers is one by one and not continuous as a line. Also, the arrival is countable so these is discrete type.
- Stochastic: It is because the arrival of eutomer is in random way.
- Open system: The system can be affected by any other things from outside so it is open in nature.


### 4.5 Variables of the system

The variable is the generally time dependent. The variance noted in the variable is of importance in the simulation. In study of the store we have considered \# of customers serviced during the noting of time. Also, interarrival time and service time are also variable as they are influenced by order and time of the day. Waiting and queue time are also of importance.

### 4.6 Parameters of the system

Parameters are \# of employee working during particular time of the day, sitting capacity of the store, \# of cashier working, data collection time is also parameter in the system. Some are controllable parameter and some are uncontrollable parameter.

### 4.7 Feedback or causal relations (Relationships)

The relationships in the system are space based and time based. As the machine and type of products are having space based relationship. There is also a dependent relationship between fryer and frozen. As the frozen machine and fryer are adjacent steps from fries and frozen foods. The same is with grill and frozen.

### 4.8 System performance metrics

- Minimum/Maximum/Average length of queue,
- Minimum/Maximum/Average waiting time for the customers/service time,
- Minimum/Maximum/Average utilization (resource schedule) with efficiency of workers which are the major performance metrics


### 4.9 Constants of the system

Anything that can't be changed over time is constants in the system. The layout of the system is one of constant parameter in the system. The positions of the machine and time of operation of the store.

### 4.10 Constraints of the system

Constraints of the system are one that is having restriction for further use then allotted. Example number of employee in the shifts, number of workers can work, supply, maximum number of customers allowed in the store by city, capacities of the machines.

### 4.11 Environment around the system

The environment around the store is very dynamic in the sense that there is always moment of cars, buses, people and more. There is bus stand near by which also makes it busier place. And there is exit for interstate that also impact the business of the store.

### 4.12 Subsystems

No subsystems are presents.

## 5 Input Data Collection and Analysis

Data for the simulation were collected from the location directly with the help of team mates. The strategy for collection of data was to take the help of stopwatch and visually noting the timings of the customer as they pass the point where the timing was to be taken. The timings were noted on the sheet made specially made to take time.The data were collected for following days and time

- Wednesday - Afternoon and Evening
- Saturday - Afternoon and Evening


Figure 2: Points of timing that were taken

The data were taken then the timings were placed in Excel file for further help in analysis. The timings were taken with following rule.

- Interarrival Time: The time difference between the arrival of customers. The time depends on the rate of arrival.
- Waiting Time: The time difference between the customers’ arrival and the time he starts to place his/her order. These will give the waiting time in the queue.
- Order Time: The time difference between the customer placing and finishing the order. These times can help in analysis the complexity of placing the order if the customer is new/old.
- Service Time: The time difference between the customer finishing his/her order and getting food. The time will help in analysis the service timing and its effects.


### 5.1 Initial Raw Data

## The following is the data from Wednesday-Afternoon and Evening

| Wednesday(Afternoon) Time: 12:40 PM Date: 03/01/2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Raw Data in seconds |  |  |  |
| Customer Number | Arrival <br> Time | Queuing <br> Time | Ordering <br> Time | Service <br> Time |  | Interarrival Time | Waiting <br> Time | Ordering <br> Tiime | Service <br> Time |
|  | 10:00:15 | 0:00:26 | 0:02:00 | 0:09:39 |  | 15 | 11 | 94 | 459 |
|  | 0:02:09 | 0:02:15 | 0:04:30 | 0:07:26 |  | 114 | 06 | 135 | 176 |
|  | 0:08:50 | 0:09:00 | 0:09:50 | 0:12:40 |  | 401 | 10 | 50 | 170 |
|  | 40:09:30 | 0:09:52 | 0:10:52 | 0:14:25 |  | 40 | 22 | 60 | 213 |
|  | 0:12:09 | 0:12:14 | 0:12:55 | 0:15:41 |  | 159 | 05 | 41 | 166 |
|  | 0:15:50 | 0:15:52 | 0:17:10 | 0:20:19 |  | 221 | 02 | 78 | 189 |
|  | 0:16:55 | 0:17:20 | 0:17:55 | 0:20:34 |  | 65 | 25 | 35 | 159 |
|  | 0:18:09 | 0:18:17 | 0:19:35 | 0:20:51 |  | 74 | 08 | 78 | 76 |
|  | 0:18:35 | 0:19:40 | 0:20:20 | 0:22:10 |  | 26 | 65 | 40 | 110 |
| 10 | 0:20:30 | 0:20:35 | 0:21:15 | 0:23:38 |  | 115 | 05 | 40 | 143 |
| 11 | 0:22:35 | 0:23:05 | 0:23:50 | 0:26:10 |  | 125 | 30 | 45 | 140 |
| 12 | 0:23:50 | 0:24:54 | 0:25:15 | 0:28:50 |  | 75 | 64 | 21 | 215 |
| 13 | 0:24:00 | 0:25:33 | 0:26:45 | 0:31:55 |  | 10 | 93 | 72 | 310 |
| 14 | 0:25:03 | 0:26:58 | 0:27:40 | 0:28:20 |  | 63 | 115 | 42 | 40 |
| 15 | 0:29:30 | 0:29:45 | 0:30:45 | 0:32:45 |  | 267 | 15 | 60 | 120 |
| 16 | 0:30:59 | 0:31:33 | 0:32:50 | 0:34:45 |  | 89 | 34 | 77 | 115 |
| 17 | 0:31:30 | 0:34:00 | 0:34:31 | 0:36:21 |  | 31 | 150 | 31 | 110 |
| 18 | 0:31:50 | 0:34:40 | 0:35:20 | 0:38:30 |  | 20 | 170 | 40 | 190 |
| 19 | 0:33:45 | 0:35:25 | 0:36:50 | 0:42:50 |  | 115 | 100 | 85 | 360 |
| 20 | 0:34:50 | 0:36:53 | 0:37:10 | 0:37:30 |  | 65 | 123 | 17 | 20 |
| 21 | 0:35:38 | 0:37:14 | 0:39:00 | 0:42:24 |  | 48 | 96 | 106 | 204 |
| 22 | 0:38:48 | 0:39:10 | 0:40:20 | 0:43:50 |  | 190 | 22 | 70 | 210 |
| 23 | 0:39:07 | 0:40:25 | 0:43:07 | 0:44:15 |  | 19 | 78 | 162 | 68 |
| 24 | 40:39:20 | 0:43:20 | 0:44:13 | 0:46:45 |  | 13 | 240 | 53 | 152 |
| 25 | 0:40:40 | 0:44:13 | 0:44:40 | 0:49:35 |  | 80 | 213 | 27 | 295 |
| 26 | 0:44:30 | 0:45:20 | 0:46:20 | 0:49:29 |  | 230 | 50 | 60 | 189 |
| 27 | 0:47:00 | 0:47:09 | 0:47:30 | 0:49:35 |  | 150 | 09 | 21 | 125 |
| 28 | 0:47:15 | 0:47:35 | 0:48:31 | 0:51:15 |  | 15 | 20 | 56 | 164 |
| 29 | 0:47:32 | 0:51:18 | 0:52:35 | 0:55:12 |  | 17 | 226 | 77 | 157 |
| 30 | 0:48:37 | 0:52:54 | 0:54:06 | 0:55:48 |  | 65 | 257 | 72 | 102 |
| 31 | 0:49:00 | 0:54:11 | 0:54:59 | 0:56:15 |  | 23 | 311 | 48 | 76 |
| 32 | 0:51:36 | 0:55:00 | 0:56:10 | 1:00:10 |  | 156 | 204 | 70 | 240 |
| 33 | 0:51:42 | 0:57:13 | 0:57:53 | 1:01:09 |  | 06 | 331 | 40 | 196 |
| 34 | 0:53:00 | 0:59:15 | 1:00:06 | 1:01:58 |  | 78 | 375 | 51 | 112 |
| 35 | 0:54:42 | 1:00:25 | 1:01:49 | 1:02:29 |  | 102 | 343 | 84 | 40 |
| 36 | 0:54:50 | 1:01:52 | 1:02:35 | 1:06:14 |  | 08 | 422 | 43 | 219 |
| 37 | 0:56:10 | 1:02:38 | 1:03:53 | 1:07:34 |  | 80 | 388 | 75 | 221 |
| 38 | 0:56:30 | 1:03:56 | 1:04:27 | 1:09:17 |  | 20 | 446 | 31 | 290 |
| 39 | 0:58:00 | 1:04:30 | 1:06:50 | 1:09:32 |  | 90 | 390 | 140 | 162 |
| 40 | 1:02:08 | 1:06:58 | 1:09:41 | 1:12:00 |  | 248 | 290 | 163 | 139 |
|  | 1:02:15 | 1:08:44 | 1:09:00 | 1:11:00 |  | 07 | 389 | 16 | 120 |
|  | 1:04:38 | 1:09:42 | 1:10:15 | 1:11:30 |  | 143 | 304 | 33 | 195 |
|  | 1:05:14 | 1:10:28 | 1:11:28 | 1:17:05 |  | 36 | 314 | 60 | 337 |
|  | 1:05:20 | 1:11:38 | 1:12:19 | 1:15:00 |  | 06 | 378 | 41 | 161 |
|  | 1:06:50 | 1:12:20 | 1:13:03 | 1:17:30 |  | 90 | 330 | 43 | 267 |

Wednesday|(Evening] Time: 08:00 PM Date: 03/01/2017


| 1 | 0:00:43 | 0:00:48 | 0:01:56 | 0:04:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0:03:01 | 0:03:06 | 0:03:45 | 0:06:35 |
| 3 | 0:10:00 | 0:10:05 | 0:11:15 | 0:13:11 |
| 4 | 0:13:43 | 0:13:46 | 0:14:32 | 0:15:22 |
| 5 | 0:15:12 | 0:15:15 | 0:15:45 | 0:16:07 |
| 6 | 0:22:59 | 0:23:55 | 0:23:52 | 0:25:00 |
| 7 | 0:23:09 | 0:23:59 | 0:24:19 | 0:27:27 |
| 8 | 0:24:15 | 0:25:42 | 0:26:54 | 0:29:39 |
| 9 | 0:25:15 | 0:26:09 | 0:26:54 | 0:29:39 |
| 10 | 0:26:14 | 0:27:35 | 0:28:49 | 0.33:40 |
| 11 | 0:30:35 | 0:30:58 | 0:31:29 | 0:33:00 |
| 12 | 0.35:50 | 0:35:5 | 0:36:12 | 0.37:10 |


| 13 | $0: 355: 59$ | $0: 36: 16$ | $0: 36: 38$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14 | $0: 36: 15$ | $0: 36: 40$ | $0: 37: 44$ |
| 15 | $0: 38: 07$ |  |  |


| 15 | $0: 43: 20$ | $0: 43: 22$ | $0: 44: 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0: 4730$ |  |  |
| 16 | $0: 44: 50$ | $0: 44: 52$ | $0: 45: 50$ |
|  | $0: 48: 30$ |  |  |
| 17 | $0: 44: 52$ | $0: 455: 56$ | $0: 46: 35$ |
| 18 | $0: 49935$ |  |  |


| 18 | 0:45:13 | 0:46:39 | 0:47:35 | 0:50:59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0:46:35 | 0:4739 | 0:49:38 | 0:53:13 |
| 20 | 0:47:10 | 0:49:42 | 0:50:51 | 0.55:30 |


| 21 | 0:52:14 | 0:52:15 | 0.52:50 | 0:56:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 0:54:20 | 0.54:25 | 0.55:29 | 0:57:2 |


| 23 | 0:59:08 | 0.59:10 | 1:00:15 | 1:02:39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 1:01:54 | 1:01:56 | 1:02:48 | 1:03:39 |


| 25 | 1:02:00 | 1:02:58 | 1:03:45 | 1:05:29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 1:04:31 | 1:04:33 | 1:0:30 | 1:07:53 |
|  |  |  |  | $1 \cdot 10$ |


| 27 | 1:08:11 | 1:08:14 | 1:08:42 | 1:10:37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 1:08:13 | 1:08:47 | 1:09:12 | 1:09:25 |


| 29 | $1: 12: 366$ | $1: 12: 38$ | $1: 13: 49$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | $1: 15: 14$ | $1: 15: 16$ | $1: 16: 15: 50$ |
|  |  | $1: 17: 10$ |  |


| 31 | 1:16:39 | 1:16:42 | 1:17:19 | 1:19:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 1:18:27 | 1:18:29 | 1:19:10 | 1:20:49 |


| 33 | 1:19:49 | 1:19:54 | 1:21:07 | 1:23: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 1:19:49 | 1:21:10 | 1:22:21 | 1:25: |


| 35 | $1: 24: 11$ | $1: 24: 13$ | $1: 25: 07$ | $1: 26: 49$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | $1: 24: 12$ | $1: 25: 10$ | $1: 26: 20$ | $1: 28: 17$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 37 | $1.25 \cdot 11$ | 12620 | 127.10 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 1:27:10 | 1:27:11 | 1:28:07 | 1:30:59 |
| 39 | 1:28:34 | 1:28:3 | 1:29:10 | 1:31:10 |
| 40 | 1:31:10 | 1:31:11 | 1:31:55 | 1:32:39 |
| 41 | 1.33:10 | 1:33:12 | 1.34:10 | 1:36:02 |
| 42 | 1:35:11 | 1:35:12 | 1:36:12 | 1:38:10 |
| 43 | 1.35:12 | 1:36:15 | 1.37:10 | 1:39:11 |
| 44 | 1.15:14 | 1:37:18 | 1:38:10 | 1:40:19 |
| 45 | 1:37:10 | 1:38:18 | 1:38:5 | 1:42:10 |




The following is the data from Saturday-Afternoon

| Saturday(Atternoon) Time:1:00PM Date:03/04/2017 |  |  |  |  |  | Raw Datain seconds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Customer } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arinalime | reving Time |  | Time |  | Interrivilime | Waiting Tine O | eoordeingTime | e Sence Time |
|  | $0: 0018$ | 0.0025 | 0.0:205 | 0.07:78 |  | 18 | 07 | 170 | 363 |
|  | 0.0037 | 00:1215 | 0.0 .55 | 0.0750 |  | 19 | 98 | 84 | 12.233 |
|  | 0.0150 | 0:3:36 | 0.0432 | 0.10 .56 |  | 73 | 76 | 68 | 66 |
|  | 0.02126 | 0.05:10 | 0.0559 | 0.1219 |  | 156 | 4 | 44 | 19 380 |
|  | 0.0617 | 0.0829 | 0.0904 | 0.1356 |  | 111 | 122 | ${ }^{35}$ | 35.292 |
|  | 0.0712 | 0.0911 | 0.1005 | 0.1656 |  | 55 | 119 | (94 | 4411 |
|  | 0.0927 | 0.1012 | 0.1131 | 0.1885 |  | 135 | 45 | 159 | 1942 |
|  | $0.10: 18$ | 0.1412 | $0.15: 90$ | 0.1555 |  | 4 | 24 | 44 | 546 |
|  | 0.1358 | 0.1516 | 0.1600 | 0.1711 |  | 230 | 78 | 8 51 | 1 |
| 10 | 0.1740 | 0.175 | 0.1838 | 0.2656 |  | 231 | 13 | 36 | 464 |
| 11 | 0:1813 | 0.1840 | $0: 1988$ | 02128 |  | 24 | 27 | 768 | 18.10 |
| 12 | 0.1946 | $0: 2025$ | 0.2156 | 0.3:15 |  | 93 | 39 | 99 | 91.6 |
| 13 | 0.2410 | 0.2430 | 0.2549 | 0.2855 |  | 264 | 20 | 019 | $9 \quad 186$ |
| 14 | 0.52 .28 | 0.2635 | $0: 7718$ | 0.2914 |  | 78 | 6 | 43 | 13.116 |
| 15 | 0.771 .16 | 0.275 | $0: 2817$ | 031:01 |  | 108 | 36 | $6{ }^{25}$ | 15.164 |
| 16 | 030:14 | 031:04 | 0.3235 | 0.3524 |  | 178 | 50 | 0 91 | 19.16 |
| 17 | 031:06 | 0.32:33 | 033:15 | 037:03 |  | 52 | 97 | 72 | 22.28 |
| 18 | 03220 | 033:10 | 033033 | 03810 |  | 74 | 50 | 50 | 324 |
| 19 | 037:29 | 0.3715 | 033828 | 0.3854 |  | 309 | 06 | 16 53 | 3312 |
| 20 | 0.3746 | 0.3833 | 039909 | 0.4.00 |  | 17 | 47 | 786 | $36 \quad 29$ |
| 21 | 0:00:27 | 0:00:16 | 0:1128 | 0.4434 |  | 16. | 19 | 192 | 12.186 |
| 2 | $0: 4035$ | 0.4139 | 0:4228 | 0.4.42 |  | 08 | 64 | 44 | 19.134 |
| 23 | 0:420 | 0.454 | 0.4530 | 0.4725 |  | 105 | 159 | 4436 | $36 \quad 115$ |
| 2 | 0.43111 | 0.4535 | $0: 4611$ | 0.7710 |  | 5. | 144 | 4 36 | $36 \quad 59$ |
| 25 | 0.4118 | 0:46:14 | 0.4759 | 0.5029 |  | 6 | 116 | $6{ }^{105}$ | 155 |
| 26 | 0.4559 | 0:48:9 | 0.4853 | 0.5130 |  | 10. | 130 | ( 44 | 445 |
| 2 | 0.4933 | 0.5050 | $0: 5135$ | 0.5338 |  | 24 | 77 | 74 | 15.123 |
| 28 | 0.5130 | 0.5216 | 0.5324 | 0.56 .11 |  | 111 | 46 | 16.68 | 18.16 |
| 2 | 0.5125 | 0.5328 | 0.5415 | 0.56 .66 |  | 05 | 113 | 34 | 7151 |
| 30 | 0.515 | 0.5422 | 0.5450 | 0.5659 |  | 22 | 145 | 15 | $18 \quad 12$ |
| 3. | 0.5332 | 0.5454 | 0.5538 | 0.5826 |  | 95 | 82 | 12.4 | 44168 |
| 32 | 0.54 .5 | 0.5550 | 0.5630 | 0.5845 |  | 63 | 75 | 540 | 10.15 |
| 33 | 0.5440 | 0.5618 | 0.5755 | 10:103 |  | 0.5 | 128 | 8 6 | 7118 |
| 34 | 0.5001 | 0.5158 | 0.5850 | 103:10 |  | 14. | 57 | $7{ }^{5}$ | 22.20 |
| 35 | 0.5932 | 1:0:25 | 10:129 | 1.0350 |  | 15. | , | 364 | 44 141 |
| 36 | 10:118 | 1:0:50 | 1:03:25 | 105:18 |  | 106 | 32 | 12.95 | $15 \quad 113$ |
| 37 | 1:3:30 | 1:0010 | 1:0572 | 106:13 |  | 102 | 69 | 93 | 331 |
| 38 | 103:36 | 1.055 | 10.050 | 10909 |  | 46 | 129 | 95 | ${ }_{5} 519$ |
| 39 | 1.0623 | 1.0659 | 1:8276 | $1.10: 11$ |  | 15 | 36 | 68 | 37105 |
| 40 | 10:741 | 1.0832 | 1:09:18 | 11.12 |  | 78 | 51 | 46 | 16.123 |
| 4. | 100:78 | 10:942 | 1.0954 | 112:45 |  | 0 | 114 | 12 | 1217 |
| 42 | 1.1083 | 1.0959 | $1: 1026$ | 1.1438 |  | 49 | 82 | 122 | 27.25 |
| 43 | 1.0922 | 111126 | $1: 1145$ | 1.15 .26 |  | 45 | 124 | 19 | 1921 |
| 4 | $11: 358$ | 11.4045 | 11.458 | 11.653 |  | 26 | 0 | $7{ }^{53}$ | 3115 |
| 45 | 1.1410 | 115:94 | 1.1610 | 1.1819 |  | 12 | 54 | 44 66 | $16 \quad 129$ |


| Saturday(Evening] Time: 1:00PM Date:03304/2017 |  |  |  |  | Raw Datain seconds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Custoner } \\ & \text { Number } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Arival } & \text { a } \\ \text { Time } & \text { Tir } \end{array}$ | Queuing <br> Time | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Order } \\ \text { Time } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Senice } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Iteariviline |  |  | Senie |
|  | 0:0023 | 0.0058 | 0:0:14 | 0.0417 | 23 | 35 | 76 | 123 |
|  | 0.00115 | 0.02:23 | 0.0336 | 0.0531 | 52 | 68 | 13 | 115 |
|  | 0.0012 | 0:03:49 | 0.0438 | 0.0026 | 08 | 146 | 49 | 108 |
|  | 0.0156 | 0.0453 | 0.0558 | 0.0651 | 33 | 17 | 65 | 53 |
|  | 0.0248 | 0.0643 | 0.075 | 0:0928 | 52 | 235 | 69 | ${ }^{96}$ |
|  | $0.051 / 14$ | 0.0758 | 0.0846 | 0.1129 | 146 | 164 | 48 | 163 |
|  | 0.0623 | 0.0850 | $0: 1041$ | 0.14 .15 | 69 | 147 | 11 | 24 |
|  | 0.0064 | 0.10 .55 | $0: 1235$ | 0.1456 | 21 | 25. | 100 | 14 |
|  | 0.00112 | 0.1212 | $0: 1353$ | 0.1600 | 28 | 330 | 71 | 136 |
| 10 | 0.0911 | $0: 1358$ | 0:1437 | 0.1544 | 119 | 281 | 39 | 6 |
| 11 | 0.1239 | 0.1448 | 0.1559 | 0.17:12 | 208 | 129 | 71 | 13 |
| 12 | 0.1588 | 0.1653 | 0.1738 | 0.1954 | 189 | 65 | 45 | 136 |
| 13 | 0.1655 | 0.1749 | $0: 1927$ | $0.2: 17$ | 6 | 54 | 98 | 170 |
| 14 | $0.19,45$ | 0.1956 | 02100 | 0.2253 | 170 | 11 | 65 | 112 |
| 15 | 0.2116 | 0.2188 | $0: 2222$ | 0.255 | 91 | 32 | 34 | 203 |
| 16 | 0.2412 | 0.2420 | 0.2539 | 0.2732 | 176 | 08 | 79 | 113 |
| 17 | 0.52 .23 | 0.25 .55 | 0.275 | 0.3011 | 7. | 22 | 130 | 136 |
| 18 | 0.28:19 | 0.2854 | 0.2988 | 0.3215 | 176 | 35 | 54 | 15 |
| 19 | 0.2854 | 030:00 | 032212 | 0.3358 | 35 | 66 | 141 | 9 |
| 20 | 030022 | 03229 | 033949 | 0.3651 | 88 | 12 | 80 | 182 |
| 2 | 0.3216 | 0.335 | 03542 | 0389 | 114 | 97 | 109 | 150 |
| 22 | 03519 | 03558 | 0.3721 | 0.3943 | 183 | 39 | 83 | 142 |
| 23 | 033620 | 0.3759 | 0.3846 | 0.4254 | 6. | 99 | 47 | 288 |
| 24 | 033748 | 0.4018 | 04112 | 0:4,19 | 88 | 150 | 54 | 18 |
| 25 | 0.3926 | 04120 | 0.4256 | 0.4453 | 98 | 114 | 96 | 111 |
| 26 | 0:4351 | 0.4119 | 0.455 | 0.4828 | 265 | 28 | 98 | 15 |
| 27 | 0:4412 | 0.4658 | 0:88:14 | 0.5123 | 2 | 116 | 76 | 18. |
| 28 | 0:4424 | 0481.19 | 0.9910 | 0.515 | 12 | 25. | 51 | 16 |
| 29 | 0.455 | 0.4916 | 0.50:17 | 0.5325 | 88 | 204 | 61 | 188 |
| 30 | 0.4555 | 0.5023 | 0.5117 | 0.53:49 | 03 | 268 | 54 | 15 |
| 3 | 0.4956 | 0.5153 | 0.52:4 | 0.5522 | 24. | 117 | 51 | 15 |
| 32 | 0.50414 | 0.5248 | 0.5415 | 0.5600 | 45 | 127 | 87 | 112 |
| 33 | 0.50:52 | 0.5428 | 0.5599 | 0.5828 | 11 | 216 | 81 | 159 |
| 34 | 0.5137 | 0.5556 | 0.57:12 | 0.95956 | 33 | 265 | 76 | 16. |
| 35 | 0.5324 | 0.5711 | 0.5918 | 1:0:190 | 113 | 233 | 121 | 18. |
| 36 | 0.5645 | 0.5927 | 1:00:4 | 1:3:34 | 20. | 159 | 83 | 174 |
| 37 | 0.5821 | 10:052 | 1:0232 | 1:0455 | 96 | 15 | 10 | 193 |
| 38 | 0.58510 | 10:2071 | 10.339 | 1.06:24 | 30 | 236 | 52 | 165 |
| 39 | 0.59:4 | $103: 35$ | 1:0458 | 1.065 | 53 | 24. | 73 | 11 |
| 40 | 1:00:13 | 1.05:33 | 1.05:36 | 1:08:2 | 29 | 200 | 43 | 146 |
| 4 | 1:0039 | 1.055 | 1.0633 | 1.0839 | 26 | 313 | 41 | 126 |
| 42 | 1:00:5 | 106:00 | 1:0722 | 1:0944 | 16 | 365 | 49 | 138 |
| 43 | ${ }^{10.0326}$ | 10:393 | 1.1085 | $1: 1115$ | 15. | 248 | 80 | 14 |
| 4 | 1:0452 | 1.1085 | 1:095 | $1: 1128$ | 86 | 26 | 53 | 9 |
| 45 | 1.0649 | 1.0957 | 1:1036 | 1:12:19 | 117 | 188 | 39 | 103 |

The red and yellow marks are the outliers in the data.

### 5.2 Data after removing outliers

The outliers were found with the help of rule of thumb. It is used to find the UCL and LCL so that the outliers can be found from the data. The UCL/LCL can be found with the help of Mean $\pm 2.5$ standard deviation.

Following are the data after removing the outliers

| Wednesday(Afternoon) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Removal of Outliers |  |  |  |
| Interarrival Time | Waiting Time | Ordering Tiime | Service Time |
| 15 | 11 | 94 | 176 |
| 114 | 06 | 135 | 170 |
| 40 | 10 | 50 | 213 |
| 159 | 22 | 60 | 166 |
| 221 | 05 | 41 | 189 |
| 65 | 02 | 78 | 159 |
| 74 | 25 | 35 | 76 |
| 26 | 08 | 78 | 110 |
| 115 | 65 | 40 | 143 |
| 125 | 05 | 40 | 140 |
| 75 | 30 | 45 | 215 |
| 10 | 64 | 21 | 310 |
| 63 | 93 | 72 | 40 |
| 267 | 115 | 42 | 120 |
| 89 | 15 | 60 | 115 |
| 31 | 34 | 77 | 110 |
| 20 | 150 | 31 | 190 |
| 115 | 170 | 40 | 360 |
| 65 | 100 | 85 | 20 |
| 48 | 123 | 17 | 204 |
| 190 | 96 | 106 | 210 |
| 19 | 22 | 70 | 68 |
| 13 | 78 | 53 | 152 |
| 80 | 240 | 27 | 295 |
| 230 | 213 | 60 | 189 |
| 150 | 50 | 21 | 125 |
| 15 | 09 | 56 | 164 |
| 17 | 20 | 77 | 157 |
| 65 | 226 | 72 | 102 |
| 23 | 257 | 48 | 76 |
| 156 | 311 | 70 | 240 |
| 06 | 204 | 40 | 196 |
| 78 | 331 | 51 | 112 |
| 102 | 375 | 84 | 40 |
| 08 | 343 | 43 | 219 |
| 80 | 422 | 75 | 221 |
| 20 | 388 | 31 | 290 |
| 90 | 446 | 140 | 162 |
| 248 | 390 | 16 | 139 |
| 07 | 290 | 33 | 120 |
| 143 | 389 | 60 | 195 |
| 36 | 304 | 41 | 337 |
| 06 | 314 | 43 | 161 |
| 90 | 378 |  | 267 |
|  | 330 |  |  |


| Wednesday(Evening) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Removal of Outliers |  |  |  |
| Interarrival Time | Waiting Time | Ordering time | Service Time |
| 43 | 05 | 68 | 140 |
| 138 | 05 | 39 | 170 |
| 419 | 05 | 70 | 116 |
| 223 | 03 | 46 | 50 |
| 89 | 03 | 30 | 22 |
| 10 | 06 | 47 | 68 |
| 66 | 50 | 20 | 188 |
| 60 | 87 | 72 | 165 |
| 59 | 54 | 45 | 165 |
| 261 | 81 | 74 | 231 |
| 315 | 23 | 31 | 91 |
| 09 | 05 | 17 | 58 |
| 16 | 17 | 22 | 89 |
| 425 | 25 | 64 | 61 |
| 90 | 02 | 88 | 160 |
| 02 | 02 | 58 | 160 |
| 21 | 64 | 39 | 180 |
| 82 | 86 | 56 | 204 |
| 35 | 64 | 69 | 215 |
| 304 | 01 | 35 | 221 |
| 126 | 05 | 64 | 117 |
| 288 | 02 | 52 | 84 |
| 166 | 02 | 47 | 51 |
| 06 | 58 | 57 | 104 |
| 151 | 02 | 28 | 143 |
| 220 | 03 | 25 | 115 |
| 02 | 34 | 71 | 13 |
| 263 | 02 | 49 | 110 |
| 158 | 02 | 37 | 65 |
| 85 | 03 | 41 | 119 |
| 108 | 02 | 73 | 99 |
| 82 | 05 | 71 | 129 |
| 00 | 81 | 54 | 169 |
| 262 | 02 | 70 | 102 |
| 01 | 58 | 50 | 117 |
| 59 | 69 | 56 | 160 |
| 119 | 01 | 34 | 172 |
| 84 | 02 | 44 | 120 |
| 156 | 01 | 58 | 44 |
| 120 | 02 | 60 | 112 |
| 121 | 01 | 55 | 118 |
| 01 | 63 | 52 | 121 |
| 02 | 124 | 35 | 129 |
| 116 | 68 |  | 197 |
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## Saturday(Afternoon)

After Removal of Outliers
Interarrival Waiting Ordering Service

| Time | Time | Time | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 18 | 07 | 100 | 343 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}19 & 98 & 42 & 293\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}73 & 76 & 86 & 384\end{array}$

| 156 | 44 | 49 | 380 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}111 & 132 & 35 & 292\end{array}$
$55 \quad 119 \quad 54 \quad 411$
$135 \quad 45 \quad 79 \quad 424$
$\begin{array}{llll}41 & 78 & 57 & 46\end{array}$

| 230 | 03 | 51 | 64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}231 & 27 & 46 & 100\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}24 & 39 & 68 & 69\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}93 & 20 & 91 & 186\end{array}$

| 264 | 67 | 79 | 116 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 78 | 36 | 43 | 164 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 108 | 50 | 25 | 169 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 178 | 97 | 91 | 238 |

$\begin{array}{llll}52 & 50 & 22 & 247\end{array}$

| 74 | 06 | 53 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 17 | 47 | 53 | 291 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}161 & 19 & 36 & 186\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}08 & 64 & 42 & 134\end{array}$
$105 \quad 154 \quad 49 \quad 115$
$\begin{array}{llll}51 & 144 & 36 & 59\end{array}$
$67 \quad 116 \quad 36 \quad 150$
$101 \quad 130 \quad 105 \quad 157$
$\begin{array}{llll}214 & 77 & 44 & 123\end{array}$
$117 \quad 46 \quad 45 \quad 167$
$05 \quad 113 \quad 68 \quad 151$

| 22 | 145 | 47 | 129 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}95 & 82 & 28 & 168\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}63 & 75 & 44 & 135\end{array}$
$05 \quad 128 \quad 40 \quad 188$
$\begin{array}{llll}141 & 57 & 67 & 260\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}151 & 53 & 52 & 141\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}106 & 32 & 64 & 113\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}102 & 69 & 95 & 31\end{array}$

| 46 | 129 | 93 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 157 | 36 | 55 |


| 78 | 51 | 87 | 123 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 07 | 114 | 46 | 171 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$49 \quad 82 \quad 12 \quad 252$
$\begin{array}{llll}45 & 124 & 27 & 221\end{array}$

| 276 | 07 | 19 | 115 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}12 & 54 & 53 & 129\end{array}$ 66

Saturday(Evening)
After Removal of Outliers

| Interarriv al Time | Waiting Time | Ordering Time | Service Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 35 | 76 | 123 |
| 52 | 68 | 73 | 115 |
| 08 | 146 | 49 | 108 |
| 33 | 177 | 65 | 53 |
| 52 | 235 | 69 | 96 |
| 146 | 164 | 48 | 163 |
| 69 | 147 | 111 | 214 |
| 21 | 251 | 100 | 141 |
| 28 | 330 | 71 | 136 |
| 119 | 287 | 39 | 67 |
| 208 | 129 | 71 | 73 |
| 189 | 65 | 45 | 136 |
| 67 | 54 | 98 | 170 |
| 170 | 11 | 65 | 112 |
| 91 | 32 | 34 | 209 |
| 176 | 08 | 79 | 113 |
| 71 | 22 | 130 | 136 |
| 176 | 35 | 54 | 157 |
| 35 | 66 | 80 | 97 |
| 88 | 127 | 109 | 182 |
| 114 | 97 | 83 | 150 |
| 183 | 39 | 47 | 142 |
| 61 | 99 | 54 | 187 |
| 88 | 150 | 96 | 117 |
| 98 | 114 | 98 | 151 |
| 21 | 28 | 76 | 189 |
| 12 | 166 | 51 | 167 |
| 88 | 235 | 61 | 188 |
| 03 | 204 | 54 | 152 |
| 241 | 268 | 51 | 157 |
| 45 | 117 | 87 | 112 |
| 11 | 127 | 81 | 159 |
| 39 | 216 | 76 | 164 |
| 113 | 265 | 121 | 181 |
| 201 | 233 | 83 | 174 |
| 96 | 159 | 100 | 143 |
| 30 | 151 | 52 | 165 |
| 53 | 236 | 73 | 117 |
| 29 | 241 | 43 | 146 |
| 26 | 290 | 41 | 126 |
| 16 | 313 | 49 | 138 |
| 151 | 345 | 80 | 141 |
| 86 | 248 | 53 | 97 |
| 117 | 246 | 39 | 103 |
|  | 188 |  |  |



Figure 3: Normality Plot for All the timings
Here it can be seen that some data are following normal distribution and some are not following normal distribution.

Descriptive Statistics:
I

| Sum of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Mean | SE | Mean | StDev | Squares | Minimum | Q1 | Median | Q3 | Maximum |
| Interarrival Time Wed-AF | 82.0 |  | 10.6 | 70.4 | 509145.0 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 69.5 | 115.0 | 267.0 |
| Ordering Time Wed-AF | 57.16 |  | 4.27 | 27.98 | 173388.00 | 16.00 | 40.00 | 51.00 | 75.00 | 140.00 |
| Service Time Wed-AF | 169.6 |  | 11.6 | 77.0 | 1520849.0 | 20.0 | 116.3 | 163.0 | 212.3 | 360.0 |
| Waiting Time Wed-AF | 166.2 |  | 22.1 | 148.5 | 2213381.0 | 2.0 | 22.0 | 115.0 | 312.5 | 446.0 |
| Interarrival Time Wed-Ev | 121.9 |  | 17.0 | 112.8 | 1200403.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 89.5 | 164.0 | 425.0 |
| Ordering Time Wed-Eve | 50.53 |  | 2.59 | 16.98 | 121927.00 | 17.00 | 37.00 | 52.00 | 64.00 | 88.00 |
| Service Time Wed-Eve | 124.18 |  | 8.08 | 53.58 | 801954.00 | 13.00 | 89.50 | 118.50 | 165.00 | 231.00 |
| Waiting Time Wed-Eve | 26.82 |  | 5.06 | 33.54 | 80022.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 58.00 | 124.00 |
| Interarrival Time Sat-AF | 94.1 |  | 10.9 | 72.2 | 613965.0 | 5.0 | 42.0 | 78.0 | 139.5 | 1276.0 |
| Ordering Time Sat-AF | 55.11 |  | 3.45 | 23.11 | 160176.00 | 12.00 | 41.00 | 51.00 | 68.00 | 276. |
| Service Time Sat-AF | 179.7 |  | 15.2 | 100.6 | 1855605.0 | 26.0 | 115.3 | 154.0 | 244.8 | 105 |
| Waiting Time Sat-AF | 71.41 |  | 6.42 | 42.61 | 302436.00 | 3.00 | 40.25 | 65.50 | 113.75 |  |
| Interarrival Time Sat-Ev | 85.09 |  | 9.64 | 63.97 | 494564.00 | 3.00 | 29.25 | 70.00 | 118.50 | 154.00 |
| Ordering Time Sat-Eve | 70.80 |  | 3.57 | 23.68 | 244647.00 | 34.00 | 51.00 | 71.00 | 83.00 | 241.00 |
| Service Time Sat-Eve | 140.16 |  | 5.46 | 36.22 | 920757.00 | 53.00 | 113.50 | 141.50 | 164.75 | 130.00 |
| Waiting Time Sat-Eve | 159.2 |  | 14.2 | 95.1 | 1538216.0 | 8.0 | 67.0 | 151.0 | 238.5 | 214.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 345.0 |

Above is the display for descriptive timing for all the timing taken. The data gives very good explanations for working of system. All the data here are in seconds. The interarrival time for is weekday in afternoon is less that because it was lunch time, it's almost 1:30 mins. And during these times only the service time is more in comparison with evening on weekdays.

The important thing to note is waiting for week day afternoon and weekend evening is almost same. It is due to lunch time on weekday and busy on weekend is due to all being free at that time. It about $2: 30$ mins. The service time is almost same for all the days and time. The service time is almost of 3 mins .

So it came out that on an average 7:30-8 mins for all the stuffs before you starts eating.

### 5.3 Input analysis

Analysis for Wednesday afternoon time


Analysis for Wednesday Evening time


Analysis for Saturday aftemoon time


Analysis for Saturday Evening time


The following table show the distribution details

| Day | Time | Timing Taken | Type of distribution | Distribution Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday | Afternoon | Interarrival Time | Weibull | 6+WEIB(68, 0.764) |
|  |  | Ordering Time | Normal | NORM(57.2,27.7) |
|  |  | Waiting Time | Beta | 2+444*BETA(0.40+0.683) |
|  |  | Service Time | Normal | NORM(170,76.1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Everning | Interarrival Time | Beta | -0.001+425*BETA(0.398,0.754) |
|  |  | Ordering Time | Normal | NORM(50.5,16.8) |
|  |  | Waiting Time | Triangular | TRIA $(13,119,231)$ |
|  |  | Service Time | Beta | .999+123*BETA(0.258,0.972) |


| Day | Time | Timing Taken | Type of distribution | Distribution Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturday | Afternoon | Interarrival Time | Beta | 5+271BETA(0.693,1.41) |
|  |  | Ordering Time | Triangular | TRIA (11.5,48.3,106) |
|  |  | Waiting Time | Beta | 3+151*BETA(0.957,1.16) |
|  |  | Service Time | Triangular | TRIA $(26,130,424)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Everning | Interarrival Time | Weibull | 3+WEIB(84,1.08) |
|  |  | Ordering Time | Triangular | $\operatorname{TRAI}(33.5,48.4,131)$ |
|  |  | Waiting Time | Beta | 8+337*BETA(0.946,1.16) |
|  |  | Service Time | Normal | NORM(140,35.8) |

## 6 Conceptual Simulation Model



Figure 2: Flow Chart for the System

## 7 ARENA Simulation Model

The following is the overview model for simulation with the help of Arena for Burger King system analysis. The model shows that it is having multiple arrival for different days and for different times. The model has different run parameters. The model then has Decide Module for checking the condition for different times and different types of days in week like weekday and weekend.


As can be seen that after Decide Module there are two Process Modules. These modules are for Ordering and Service Times for the systems. And as the data were taken for different days and different times they are made for individual types of days. The module is made with the expression that we got from Input Analyzer and the expression are kept in a table in 4.3 Section of Input Analysis.

As can be seen that the model is having four Create Modules, eight Decide Modules and eight Process Modules. With these there is module created for fake entity. The Assign Module is created for counting the fake entities that would be generated during the running of simulation. The model will be explained in following section.

### 7.1 Resources and Entities

There are two Entity in the model. One is Customer and Other is Fake Entity. The Customer is Entity made for Customer Arrival and Fake Entity is one that is not matching with the Decision Modules.

The Resource is a set of four as there are four Employees that are working. And it is assumed that the pay of all the employees are $\$ 10 /$ hrs .

| Resource - Basic Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Type | Capacity | Busy / Hour | Idle / Hour | Per Use | StateSet Name | Failures | Report Statistics |
| 1 , | Cashier1 $\downarrow$ | Fixed Capacity | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |  | 0 rows | $\square$ |
| 2 | Kishan | Fixed Capacity | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |  | 0 rows | $\square$ |
| 3 | Abdul | Fixed Capacity | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |  | 0 rows | $\square$ |
| 4 | Sai | Fixed Capacity | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |  | 0 rows | $\square$ |
| 5 | Nath | Fixed Capacity | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0.0 |  | 0 rows | $\square$ |

### 7.2 Creation of different expression for different Modules.

## Expression Table

| Expression Name | Time | Timing Taken | Type of distribution | Distributiion Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Lunch | Afternoon | Interarrival Time | Weibull | 6+WEIB(68, 0.764) |
| Weekday Cashier Lunch |  | Ordering Time | Normal | NORM(57.2,27.7) |
| Weekday Service Lunch |  | Service Time | Normal | NORM(170,76.1) |
| Weekday Dinner | Everning | Interarrival Time | Beta | -0.001+425*BETA(0.398,0.754) |
| Weekday Cashier Dinner |  | Ordering Time | Normal | NORM(50.5,16.8) |
| WeekDay Service Dinner |  | Service Time | Beta | .999+123*BETA(0.258,0.972) |
| Weekend Lunch | Afternoon | Interarrival Time | Beta | 5+271BETA(0.693,1.41) |
| Weekend Cashier Lunch1 |  | Ordering Time | Triangular | TRIA(11.5,48.3,106) |
| Weekend Service Dinner |  | Service Time | Triangular | TRIA $(26,130,424)$ |
| Weekend Dinner | Everning | Interarrival Time | Weibull | 3+WEIB(84,1.08) |
| Weekend Cashier Dinner 1 |  | Ordering Time | Triangular | TRAI(33.5,48.4,131) |
| Weekend Service Dinner 1 |  | Service Time | Normal | NORM(140,35.8) |


| Expression - Advanced Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Comment | Rows | Columns | Data Type | File Name | Expression Values |
| 1 - | Weekday Lunch |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 2 | Weekday Dinner |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 3 | Weekend Lunch |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 4 | Weekend Dinner |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 5 | Weekday Cashier Lunch |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 6 | Weekday Cashier Dinner |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 7 | Weekend Cashier Lunch1 |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 8 | Weekend Cashier Dinner1 |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 9 | Weekday Service Lunch |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 10 | Weekday Service Dinner |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 11 | Weekend Service Lunch1 |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |
| 12 | Weekend Service Dinner1 |  |  |  | Native |  | 1 rows |

The expression followed would be the one that is explained in Section 4.3 of Input Analysis.

### 7.3 Create Modules for different Interarrivals



These are the Create Modules created for Interarrival of the Customer Entity. The Unites for all the modules are in Seconds as can be seen in the screenshot below with the Expression they are following according to Expressioon Table.


| Create-Basic Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Entity Type | Type | Expression | Units | Entities per Arrival | Max Arrivals | First Creation |
| 1 > | Weekday Arrival Lunch | Customer | Expression | Weekday Lunch | Seconds | 1 | Infinite | 0.0 |
| 2 | Weekday Arrival Dinner | Customer | Expression | Weekday Dinner | Seconds | 1 | Infinite | 21601 |
| 3 | Weekend Arrival Lunch | Customer | Expression | Weekend Lunch | Seconds | 1 | INFINITE | 0.0 |
| 4 | Weekend Arrival Dinner | Customer | Expression | Weekend Dinner | Seconds | 1 | Infinite | 21601 |

### 7.4 Decide Modules

The Decide Module are used to check the condition for weekday/weekend and Lunch/Dinner. The condition are
 made to filter the entities and let the right entity pass the condition according to the time and type of day.

The Check Condition for Lunch/Dinner is done with the help of CalHour(Tnow) function. In the function 0 is 12 is midnight and it is in 24 hr system. So it will return a
integer from $0-23$ according to TNOW. The Lunch time is 11 AM to 5 PM daily and Dinner is from 5 PM to 11 PM is considered.

The check condition for Weekday/Weekend are done using CalDayOfWeek function in Arena. In this function 1 is considered as Sunday and as follows the rest days.

| Decide |  | ? | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name: | Type: |  |  |
| Check Weekday | $\checkmark$ | 2-way by Condition | $\checkmark$ |
| If: |  |  |  |
| Expression v |  |  |  |
| Value: |  |  |  |
| CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) |  |  |  |




| Decide - Basic Process |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Type | If | Value |
| 1 | Check Weekday | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalDayOfWeek(TNOW)>= 2 \& \& CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) <= 6 |
| 2 | Check Weekday Lunch | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalHour(TNOW)<=17 \&\& CalHour(TNOW)>=11 |
| 3 | Check For Weekday | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalDayOfWeek(TNOW)>= 2 \& \& CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) < 6 |
| 4 | Check Weekday Dinner | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalHour(TNOW)>17 \&\& CalHour(TNOW)<=23 |
| 5 | Check Weekend | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) ==1 \|| CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) ==7 |
| 6 | Check Weekend Lunch | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalHour(TNOW)<=17 \& \& CalHour(TNOW) $>=11$ |
| 7 | Check For Weekend | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) ==1 \|| CalDayOfWeek(TNOW) ==7 |
| 8 | Check Weekend Dinner | 2-way by Condition | Expression | CalHour(TNOW)>17 \&\& CalHour(TNOW)<=23 |

The all the Decide Module table is shown above with the condition it is following and the Value of that condition.

### 7.5 Process Modules

The process module is for ordering and service. The Ordering Process has the expression of arrival according to the expression generated from the input analyzer. Same is the case for the Service Process Modules. There are eight order and service module. The Ordering is for Cashier Process and Servicing is for Servicing Process. In Cashier Process the resource used is Cashier1 and for Service Process the Resource used is Set of 4 employees.

Below shows example for Weekday Lunch. Same would be case for different arrivals.


Below table shows the other process and the condition they are following. The resouces they are using the arrival entities are accoring to the specified expression.

| Process - Basic Process |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Type | Action | Priority | Resources | Delay Type | Units | Allocation | Expression | Report Statistics |
| 1 | Cashier Lunch | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekday Cashier Lunch | $\square$ |
| 2 ' | Service Lunch | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekday Service Lunch | $\square$ |
| 3 | Cashier Dinner | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekday Cashier Dinner | V |
| 4 | Service Dinner | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekday Service Dinner | ■ |
| 5 | Weekend Cashier Lunch | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekend Cashier Lunch1 | $\checkmark$ |
| 6 | Weekend Service Lunch | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekend Service Lunch1 | $\square$ |
| 7 | Weekend Cashier Dinner | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekend Cashier Dinner1 | $\square$ |
| 8 | Weekend Service Dinner | Standard | Seize Delay Release | Medium(2) | 1 rows | Expression | Seconds | Value Added | Weekend Service Dinner1 | $\square$ |

### 7.6 Assign Modules

The Assign Module is used because to check and count the Fake entity.


### 7.7 Record Module

The record module is used for recording the customer out at the same time calculating the cost of the serving the customers.


The expression is according to the usage/idle/busy condition of the employees.

### 7.8 Dispose Module

The dispose module is used for disposing the entity be it Fake or Customer Entity.


### 7.9 Run Parameters

- Number of Replication: 7
- Warm Up time: 1 Hour
- Replication Length: 7 Days
- Hours Per Day: 24 Hours
- Base time Units: Seconds



## 8 Model Validation.



For validating our model, we used Read/Write Module to extract the model data from actual running of simulation model. The process is using assign module for attributing the process start time and same way at the end again with the help of assign module storing the TNOW in that assign module subtracting the TNOW from previous assign Module. The value is then stored in the Read/Write Module.

All the data that are generated are stored in a notepad and with that data and the actual data that we collected are kept in Minitab for validation. Our data did not follow the normal distribution so we tried to validate on the parameter of comparison of Median as base. The test is done according to Kruskal-Wallis Test.

The screenshot below show that the model is validating as the p -value is grater then 0.05 for all the three timings.

Kruskal-Wallis Test: Interarrival Time versus Group Id Arrival
Kruskal-Wallis Test on Interarrival Time
Group Id

| Arrival | N | Median | Ave Rank | Z |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 44 | 69.50 | 160.7 | 0.98 |
| 1 | 253 | 40.08 | 147.0 | -0.98 |
| Overall | 297 |  | 149.0 |  |


| $H=0.95$ | $D F=1$ | $P=0.329$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $H=0.95$ | $D F=1$ | $P=0.329 \quad$ (adjusted for ties) |

Kruskal-Wallis Test: Cashier Time versus Group Id Cashier
Kruskal-Wallis Test on Cashier Time

Group Id

| Cashier | N | Median | Ave Rank | Z |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 43 | 151.00 | 64.0 | -7.01 |
| 1 | 253 | 137.17 | 162.9 | 7.01 |
| Overall | 296 |  | 148.5 |  |

```
H}=49.09 DF=1 P=0.067
H = 49.09 DF = 1 P = 0.067 (adjusted for ties)
```


## Kruskal-Wallis Test: Service Time versus Group Id Service

Kruskal-Wallis Test on Service Time
Group Id

| Service | N | Median | Ave Rank | Z |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 44 | 163.0 | 142.1 | -0.50 |
| 1 | 251 | 175.8 | 149.0 | 0.50 |
| Overall | 295 |  | 148.0 |  |

$\begin{array}{lll}H=0.25 & D F=1 & P=0.617 \\ H=0.25 & D F=1 & P=0.617 \quad \text { (adjusted for ties) }\end{array}$

## 9 Initial Performance Analysis (Base model)

From the initial report of the model it seems that the problem that was there in real world seems to be still there. The analysis shows that the Cashier is the most utilized resource. The problem observed is due to just one Cashier the problem of Waiting time is continuing. At the same time the less employee is making service queue bigger.

Below shows some of the initial Report.

| Usage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number Seized | Average | Half Width | Minimum <br> Average | Maximum Average |  |
| Abdul | 832.57 | 15.92 | 812.00 | 859.00 |  |
| Cashier1 | 3170.57 | 47.10 | 3126.00 | 3268.00 |  |
| Kishan | 1462.14 | 21.25 | 1426.00 | 1498.00 |  |
| Nath | 335.29 | 15.47 | 315.00 | 364.00 |  |
| Sai | 540.57 | 22.55 | 506.00 | 583.00 |  |
| 3200.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2800.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2400.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600.000 |  |  |  |  | Lekishien |
| 1200.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | Half Width | Average | Average |  |
| Abdul | 0.2136 | 0.00 | 0.2106 | 0.2196 |  |
| Cashier1 | 0.3035 | 0.00 | 0.3005 | 0.3076 |  |
| Kishan | 0.2903 | 0.00 | 0.2855 | 0.2927 |  |
| Nath | 0.07577547 | 0.00 | 0.07177598 | 0.08205265 |  |
| Sai | 0.1452 | 0.01 | 0.1369 | 0.1562 |  |



## 10 Test of the Scenarios with Process Analyzer (PAN), Output Analysis (OA) and OptQuest

### 10.1 Process Analyzer (PAN)

With the help PAN we generated different scenarios on the bases of problem observed in the initial report. We tried to make scenarios by increasing the employees, replications, and cost. The control for the PAN were all the resources and number of Reps. And the Response that we wanted to study of the customer wait time and the cost of increasing the employees. The utilization of the employees was also an important response to study.

Below shows different scenarios with different control and the response is generated according to it.

|  | Scenario Properties |  |  |  | Controls |  |  |  |  |  | System.NumberOut | Customer:Wa itime | Total Customer | Cashier 1. Utiif zation | Kishan.Utiliza tion | Res |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S | Name | Prooram File | Reps | Abdul | Cashier 1 | Kishan | Nath | Sai | Num Reps |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Cashier } \\ \text { Dinner:Queu } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | A | Baseline | 3: burgerkin | 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 23932.714 | 75.766 | 2061450 | 0.304 | 0.290 | 20.829 |
| 2 | A | Weekday Service | 3 : burgerkin | 7 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24073.143 | 6.590 | 2079544 | 0.152 | 0.153 | 2.049 |
| 3 | A | ncreasing Replicatil | 3 : burgerkin | 40 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 40 | 24045.950 | 77.019 | 2059005 | 0.306 | 0.292 | 20.598 |
| 4 | A | ncrerasing Employ | 3 : burgerkin | 7 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 7 | 24056.714 | 4.866 | 4643276 | 0.153 | 0.149 | 1.893 |
| 5 | A | Changing Cashier | 3: burgerkin | 7 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24024.429 | 11.720 | 2050939 | 0.152 | 0.275 | 2.152 |
| 6 | A | Removing Employe | 3 : burgerkin | 7 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24066.714 | 686.931 | 0 | 0.307 | 0.000 | 19.753 |
| 7 |  | Removing Least Util | 3: burgerkin | 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24047.143 | 101.665 | 1990141 | 0.308 | 0.311 | 19.775 |
| 8 | A | ncreasing Most Util | 3: burgerkin | 7 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24058.143 | 86.183 | 4567293 | 0.304 | 0.286 | 19.738 |
| 9 | 0 | Checking for Utiliza | 3: burgerkin | 7 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24066.714 | 686.931 | 0 | 0.307 | 0.000 | 19.753 |
| 10 | A | Cost | 3:burgerkin | 7 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 7 | 24052.857 | 5.283 | 4636395 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 1.874 |


| Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System.NumberOut | Customer.Wa itTime | Total Customer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cashier1.Utiii } \\ \text { zation } \end{gathered}$ | Kishan.Utiliza tion | Cashier Dinner. Queu | Cashier Lunch. Queue | Service Lunch.Queue | System.Total Cost | Abdul.Utilizati <br> on | Kishan.Utiliza tion | Sailutilization | Cashier1. Bus yCost |
| 23932.714 | 75.766 | 2061450 | 0.304 | 0.290 | 20.829 | 87.600 | 3.204 | 8400.000 | 0.214 | 0.290 | 0.145 | 509.910 |
| 24073.143 | 6.590 | 2079544 | 0.152 | 0.153 | 2.049 | 6.950 | 3.515 | 11760.000 | 0.199 | 0.153 | 0.138 | 510.635 |
| 24045.950 | 77.019 | 2059005 | 0.306 | 0.292 | 20.598 | 93.026 | 3.662 | 8400.000 | 0.216 | 0.292 | 0.146 | 513.410 |
| 24056.714 | 4.866 | 4643276 | 0.153 | 0.149 | 1.893 | 6.895 | 0.023 | 16800.000 | 0.105 | 0.149 | 0.071 | 513.818 |
| 24024.429 | 11.720 | 2050939 | 0.152 | 0.275 | 2.152 | 7.034 | 12.792 | 10080.000 | 0.207 | 0.275 | 0.148 | 509.270 |
| 24066.714 | 686.931 | 0 | 0.307 | 0.000 | 19.753 | 96.605 | 958.516 | 5040.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.386 | 516.029 |
| 24047.143 | 101.665 | 1990141 | 0.308 | 0.311 | 19.775 | 100.027 | 31.915 | 6720.000 | 0.244 | 0.311 | 0.183 | 517.699 |
| 24058.143 | 86.183 | 4567293 | 0.304 | 0.286 | 19.738 | 95.136 | 0.469 | 10080.000 | 0.116 | 0.286 | 0.141 | 511.215 |
| 24066.714 | 686.931 | 0 | 0.307 | 0.000 | 19.753 | 96.605 | 958.516 | 5040.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.386 | 516.029 |
| 24052.857 | 5.283 | 4636395 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 1.874 | 7.089 | 0.619 | 13440.000 | 0.107 | 0.151 | 0.140 | 517.739 |

Graphical Analysis of the scenarios.


$\underset{\text { Total Customer Cost }}{\text { Tot Cost by Scenario }}$


### 10.2 Output Analysis (OA)

From the output analyzer, we compared the base model and with different cycle time scenarios and we find out that there is a significant difference between all four different scenarios. So, we concluded that our model is verified and satisfied customer requirement of less ordering and service time.

The screenshot below shows the same.


### 10.3 OptQuest

For OptQuest we tried to study the problem what was observed in initial report and that found in PAN. The cost was of major importance and waiting time. For the problem, we defined different constraints, controls, objectives. The screenshot below tries to explain all.

- Constraints.

| Glilil Best Costing |  |  |  | $\triangle 1\|D\| \boldsymbol{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constraints |  |  |  |  |
| Constraints Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Included | Name | Type | Description | Expression |
| $\square$ | Busy VS Idle | NonLinear |  | [Abdul. BusyCost] + [Cashier1.... |
| $\square$ | Number in Queue | NonLinear |  | [Cashier Lunch.Queue.Numbe... |
| V | Number of Employee | Linear |  | [Abdul] + [Cashier1] + [Kishan] ... |
| V | Waiting Time | NonLinear |  | [Cashier Dinner.Queue.Waitin... |

- Different Objective

| Will Best Costing |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objectives |  |  |  |  |  |
| Objectives Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Included | Name | Type | Goal | Expression |
| - | $\square$ | Costing | Linear | Minimize |  |
|  | $\square$ | Wating Time | Nonlinear | Minimize | [Cashier Dinner.Queue.WatingTime] + [Cashier Lunch.Queue.WatingTime] + [Sevice Dinner.Queue.Wait... |
|  | V | Best Cosing | Nonlinear | Minimize |  |

- Result for Best Costing Objective

- Optimization of Best Costing objective

Giliil Best Costing*



## 11 Proposed Performance Improvement Scenarios

As Per all the Process Analysis (PAN) that is done for the scenarios we came up with best case scenario that the store should increase one more cashier to reduce the wait time at low cost rather than increasing the service employee. The utilization should be increased with more productive working. For customer satisfaction, we need to reduce the wait time and ordering time. We also suggest to use Kaizen methods to decrease the wait and ordering time.


## 13 Conclusions

- With the help of all analysis we came up with the conclusion that the queue in the system is mostly due to difference in interarrival time and servicing time. The interarrival time is almost half of the service time. These is making the working of system complicated
- By doing these the service time and customer service will improve

From the above analysis, we came to know that the system working is little complicated due to only one cashier working. The complication can be reduced if there is more number of employees and cashier for instore ordering.

- Here we saw that the arrival time of the customer is less than the service time. These is the reason that makes the queue in the store. We would conclude by give recommendation that the store should increase the employment so as decrease the servicing time. It's almost double for all time except for Wednesday evening time.

